UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

JUL 17 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America,) Case No. <u>23-cr-00</u> 208 JSW
Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Eivind Manuel Lope Defendant(s).	그리 중에 다른 경기 하다는 아니라 이번 등을 가지 않는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 7/17/2023, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 7/17/2023 to 8/15/2023 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of t	plex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial plished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance we taking into account the exercise	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance w counsel's other scheduled case of See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance w necessary for effective preparati See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	ould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. v).
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the paragraph the time limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time periods.	nt, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt e court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for d for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	1 0.5 1 Jas 0. 10
DATED: 7 17 23	Kandis A. Westmore
One no	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendar	Assistant United States Attorney